

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL	L (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPI	ECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	Γ ΝΟ:			
AIRS ID#: 7775291 DATE: <u>6/21/0</u>	<u>)7</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:23</u>	DEPART: <u>10:16</u>			
FACILITY NAME: 11205 E SR 70/ LAKEWOOD WALK PUBLIX CONS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 112	205 E SR 70					
BR	ADENTON					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ALA	N PAYNE	РН	<b>ONE:</b> (813)630-2225			
CONTACT NAME: Kenneth Schalk		PH	ONE:			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 7/3/2	2005 / 7/3/2010 ve date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLI</u>	ANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIF	ICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emissions tests of 62-297, F.A.C.)?	conducted during this	site visit according to EPA	A Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	]Yes □ No		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?						
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then						
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continua) Was the batching operation	ne on to question 5.) on in operation during	the visible emissions test?	 ?	]Yes ☐ No ]Yes ☐ No		
b) During the visible emission	ons test, was the batch	ing rate representative of				
<ol><li>If emissions from the weigh I from the silo dust collector, a</li></ol>	nopper (batcher) operare the visible emission	ation are controlled by a d ns tests of the weigh hopp	ust collector, which is separate	_		
	•					

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
(check <b>E</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)</li></ol>	ng □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))						
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined						
emissions by:						
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:						
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, sto	□Yes □ No					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-s emissions?						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operato						
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes N						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?  \Boxed Yes \Boxed No					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - I	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? [						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most						
recent notification form?						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or						
local program office?		□Yes □ No				
1 0						
Neal B. Janis	6/21/07					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_				
	1 year					
	1 year					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_				
GOA FRANCISCO GOOD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN						

**COMMENTS:** Soil cement plant not a normal batching operation. Operation is located in a limestone mine. Operation currently located at 41150 Yonkers Boulevard, Zephyrhills, FL Many of the parameters on this form do not apply to this operation.